



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,642	03/30/2004	Ara V. Nefian	884.C05US1	4943
21186	7590	12/07/2007	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			STOFFREGEN, JOEL	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2626		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		12/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,642	NEFIAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joel Stoffregen	2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2007.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 12-27 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 12-27 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/11/2005.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The examiner unintentionally failed to initial one of the document's on the applicant's IDS. The document has been considered and a completed 1449 Form has been resubmitted to the applicant.

Response to Amendment

3. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment dated September 4, 2007. The applicant amended claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 10, 15, 17, 18, 20, 23, and 25, and cancelled claims 6, 11, and 28. The applicant's remarks additionally state that claim 7 was cancelled (see p. 9), however claim 7 remains in the claim amendments, and therefore is still considered as part of the applicant's claims. Claims 1-5, 7-10, and 12-27 are currently pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-10, and 12-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. **Claims 1, 8, 15, and 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 8, 15, and 20 contain the new limitation of identifying changes in frequencies. The original specification does not support this limitation. The specification merely identifies bands of frequencies. It is unclear what changes in frequency are being detected and/or matched.

Claim 20 contains the additional limitation of separating audio and video into separate files. The original specification does not support this new limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. **Claims 1-5, 8-10, and 12-27** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsumi Patent No.: US 6,369,846 ("KATSUMI") in view of Nefian Pub. No.: US 2003/0212557 ("NEFIAN").

8. Regarding **claim 1**, KATSUMI teaches a method, comprising:

electronically capturing visual features associated with a speaker speaking (“speaking attendee determination information based on video signal”, KATSUMI, column 6, lines 52-53);

electronically capturing audio (“speaking attendee determination information based on audio signal”, KATSUMI, column 6, lines 50-51);

matching selective portions of the audio with the visual features (“when the ‘speaking attendee determination information based on audio signal’ represents a voice and the ‘speaking attendee determination information based on video signal’ represents a change of the shape of the lip portion simultaneously”, KATSUMI, column 6, lines 58-63); and

identifying the remaining and unmatched portions of the audio as potential noise not associated with the speaker speaking (“if an audio signal contains a noise such as a page turning noise and voices of other people along with a voice of a conference attendee, since an image of the motion of the lip portion of a conference attendee can be detected from a video signal, the speaking attendee can be determined”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 63-67).

However, KATSUMI does not disclose that the method occurs during a training session; that the visual features include a face recognition of the speaker and a mouth recognition within pixels associated with the face that detects when the mouth is moving and when the mouth is not moving by differences in the pixels from frame to frame in the captured visual features during the training session; and detecting changes in frequencies in the captured audio during same time slices of the training session for the

captured visual features when the mouth is detected as moving and when the mouth is detected as not moving.

In the same field of audiovisual processing, NEFIAN teaches:

a training session ("training network and speech recognition module 18",

NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]);

visual features including a face recognition of the speaker and a mouth recognition within pixels associated with the face that detects when the mouth is moving and when the mouth is not moving by differences in the pixels from frame to frame in the captured visual features during the training session (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels representing the mouth regions); and

detecting changes in frequencies in the captured audio ("13 MFCC coefficients extracted from a window of 20 ms", NEFIAN, paragraph [0055]) during same time slices of the training session for the captured visual features when the mouth is detected as moving and when the mouth is detected as not moving ("discrete nodes at time t for each HMM are conditioned by the discrete nodes at time t1 of all the related HMMs", NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the audiovisual matching method of NEFIAN with the speaker determination system of KATSUMI in order to "improve the performance of speech recognition" (NEFIAN, paragraph [0003]).

9. Regarding **claim 2**, KATSUMI further teaches:

electronically capturing additional visual features associated with a different speaker speaking (“images of terminals determined as having speaking attendees”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 56-57); and

matching some of the remaining portions of the audio from the potential noise with the additional speaker speaking (“if an audio signal contains a noise such as a page turning noise and voices of other people along with a voice of a conference attendee, since an image of the motion of the lip portion of a conference attendee can be detected from a video signal, the speaking attendee can be determined”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 63-67).

10. Regarding **claim 3**, NEFIAN further teaches generating parameters associated with the matching and the identifying (“audio processing and visual feature extraction”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]) and providing the parameters to a Bayesian Network which models the speaker speaking (“video data must be fused with audio data using... a coupled hidden Markov model [HMM]”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0023], where the HMM is a dynamic Bayesian network).

11. Regarding **claim 4**, NEFIAN further teaches that electronically capturing the visual features further includes processing a neural network (“neural network”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]) against electronic video associated with the speaker speaking (“speaker’s face in a video sequence”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]), wherein the neural

network is trained to detect and monitor the face of the speaker (“face detection”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]).

12. Regarding **claim 5**, NEFIAN further teaches filtering the detected face of the speaker to detect movement or lack of movement in the mouth of the speaker (“after the face is detected, mouth region discrimination is usual”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0015]).

13. Regarding **claim 8**, KATSUMI teaches a method, comprising:

monitoring an electronic video of a first speaker and a second speaker (“images of terminals determined as having speaking attendees”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 56-57);

concurrently capturing audio associated with the first and second speaker speaking (“voices may be contained in the audio signal”, KATSUMI, column 3, line 1);

analyzing the video to detect when the first and second speakers are moving their respective mouths (“extracts the change amount of the shape of the lip portion”, KATSUMI, column 6, lines 24-25); and

matching portions of the captured audio to the first speaker and other portions to the second speaker based on the analysis and wherein at least some points in the training session indicate that the first and second speakers are simultaneously speaking (“if an audio signal contains a noise such as a page turning noise and voices of other people along with a voice of a conference attendee, since an image of the motion of the

lip portion of a conference attendee can be detected from a video signal, the speaking attendee can be determined”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 63-67).

However, KATSUMI does not disclose a training session for face recognition of the first and second speakers; indications as to when mouths for the first and second speakers are moving and not moving from frame to frame of the video during the training session; audio separated from video and matched back to a corresponding portion of the video via a particular time slice associated with both the audio and the video; detecting differences in pixels within the faces occurring from frame to frame of the video for each of the speakers; and detecting changes in frequencies within the audio for a same time slice that indicates a particular mouth of one of the speakers is moving and by noting a particular frequency for a particular one of the speakers, and discerning what each is saying based on their respective frequencies that were noted.

In the same field of audiovisual processing, NEFIAN teaches:
a training session (“training network and speech recognition module 18”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]);
indications as to when mouths for the first and second speakers are moving and not moving from frame to frame of the video during the training session (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels representing the mouth regions);
audio separated from video (“audiovisual data is separately subjected to audio processing and visual feature extraction 14”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]) and matched back to a corresponding portion of the video (“video data must be fused with audio

data", NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]) via a particular time slice associated with both the audio and the video ("discrete nodes at time t for each HMM are conditioned by the discrete nodes at time t1 of all the related HMMs", NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]);

detecting differences in pixels within the faces occurring from frame to frame of the video for each of the speakers (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels representing the mouth regions); and

detecting changes in frequencies within the audio ("13 MFCC coefficients extracted from a window of 20 ms", NEFIAN, paragraph [0055]) for a same time slice that indicates a particular mouth of one of the speakers is moving ("discrete nodes at time t for each HMM are conditioned by the discrete nodes at time t1 of all the related HMMs", NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]) and by noting a particular frequency for a particular one of the speakers ("13 MFCC coefficients extracted from a window of 20 ms", NEFIAN, paragraph [0055]), and discerning what each is saying based on their respective frequencies that were noted ("for audio-only speech recognition", NEFIAN, paragraph [0055]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the audiovisual matching method of NEFIAN with the speaker determination system of KATSUMI in order to "improve the performance of speech recognition" (NEFIAN, paragraph [0003]).

14. Regarding **claim 9**, NEFIAN further teaches modeling the analysis for subsequent interactions with the first and second speakers (“the result is a model for the underlying process”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0033]).

15. Regarding **claim 10**, NEFIAN further teaches that analyzing further includes processing a neural network (“neural network”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]) for detecting the faces of the first and second speakers (“speaker’s face in a video sequence”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]) and processing vector classifying algorithms to detect when the first and second speakers’ respective mouths are moving or not moving (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations is performed on the mouth regions).

16. Regarding **claim 13**, KATSUMI further teaches identifying selective portions of the captured audio as noise if the selective portions have not been matched to the first speaker or the second speaker (“if an audio signal contains a noise such as a page turning noise and voices of other people along with a voice of a conference attendee, since an image of the motion of the lip portion of a conference attendee can be detected from a video signal, the speaking attendee can be determined”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 63-67).

17. Regarding **claim 14**, NEFIAN further teaches that matching further includes identifying time dependencies associated with when selective portions of the electronic

video were monitored and when selective portions of the audio were captured ("discrete nodes at time t for each HMM are conditioned by the discrete nodes at time t1 of all the related HMMs", NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]).

18. Regarding claim 15, KATSUMI teaches a system, comprising:

- a camera (see KATSUMI, column 4, lines 22-23, the conference terminals produce video signals, therefore a camera is inherent);
- a microphone (see KATSUMI, column 4, lines 22-23, the conference terminals produce audio signals, therefore a microphone is inherent); and
- a processing device ("MCU", KATSUMI, column 4, line 21), wherein the camera captures video of a speaker and communicates the video to the processing device, the microphone captures audio associated with the speaker and an environment of the speaker and communicates the audio to the processing device ("the conference terminals 6a to 6c multiplex video signals and audio signals of locations [A] to [C]... and transmit the transmission signals to the MCU", KATSUMI, column 4, lines 22-26), the processing device includes instructions that identifies visual features of the video where the speaker is speaking ("speaking attendee determination information based on video signal", KATSUMI, column 6, lines 52-53) and uses time dependencies to match portions of the audio to those visual features ("when the 'speaking attendee determination information based on audio signal' represents a voice and the 'speaking attendee determination information based on video signal' represents a change of the shape of the lip portion simultaneously", KATSUMI, column 6, lines 58-63).

However, KATSUMI does not disclose a plurality of frames within a period of time designated as a training session and each frame associated with a particular time slice; audio associated with the particular time slice of the training session; and a processing device that recognizes a face of the speaker in each frame of the video and a mouth within the face and detects when the mouth is moving or not moving from frame to frame of the video by changes in pixels associated with the mouth, and wherein when the mouth is moving a detected change in frequency within the same time slice of the audio identifies the speaker as speaking and a particular frequency that uniquely identifies the speaker when the speaker is speaking.

In the same field of audiovisual processing, NEFIAN teaches:
a plurality of frames ("digital form including but not limited to MPEG-2", NEFIAN, paragraph [0011]) within a period of time designated as a training session ("training network and speech recognition module 18", NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]) and each frame associated with a particular time slice (MPEG frames are inherently associated with a time slice);

audio associated with the particular time slice of the training session ("video data must be fused with audio data", NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]); and

a processing device that recognizes a face of the speaker in each frame of the video ("speaker's face in a video sequence", NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]) and a mouth within the face and detects when the mouth is moving or not moving from frame to frame of the video by changes in pixels associated with the mouth (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels

representing the mouth regions), and wherein when the mouth is moving a detected change in frequency within the same time slice of the audio identifies the speaker as speaking (“discrete nodes at time t for each HMM are conditioned by the discrete nodes at time t1 of all the related HMMs”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]) and a particular frequency that uniquely identifies the speaker when the speaker is speaking (“13 MFCC coefficients extracted from a window of 20 ms”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0055]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the audiovisual matching method of NEFIAN with the speaker determination system of KATSUMI in order to “improve the performance of speech recognition” (NEFIAN, paragraph [0003]).

19. Regarding **claim 16**, KATSUMI further teaches that the captured video also includes images of a second speaker (“images of terminals determined as having speaking attendees”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 56-57) and the audio includes sounds associated with the second speaker (“voices may be contained in the audio signal”, KATSUMI, column 3, line 1), and wherein the instructions matches some portions of the audio to the second speaker when some of the visual features indicate the second speaker is speaking (“if an audio signal contains a noise such as a page turning noise and voices of other people along with a voice of a conference attendee, since an image of the motion of the lip portion of a conference attendee can be detected from a video signal, the speaking attendee can be determined”, KATSUMI, column 7, lines 63-67).

Regarding **claim 17**, NEFIAN further teaches instructions that interact with a neural network (“neural network”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]) to detect the face of the speaker from the captured video (“speaker’s face in a video sequence”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]).

20. Regarding **claim 18**, NEFIAN further teaches that the instructions interact with a pixel vector algorithm to detect when the mouth associated with the face moves or does not move within the captured video (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels representing the mouth regions).

21. Regarding **claim 19**, NEFIAN further teaches that the instructions generate parameter data (“audio processing and visual feature extraction”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]) that configures a Bayesian network (“video data must be fused with audio data using... a coupled hidden Markov model [HMM]”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0023], where the HMM is a dynamic Bayesian network) which models subsequent interactions with the speaker (“the result is a model for the underlying process”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0033]) to determine when the speaker is speaking and to determine appropriate audio to associate with the speaker speaking in the subsequent interactions (“speech recognition”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]).

22. Regarding **claim 20**, KATSUMI teaches a machine accessible medium having associated instructions, which when accessed, results in a machine performing:

separating audio and video associated with a speaker speaking into separate files for analysis (see KATSUMI, FIG. 3, the audio processing is separate from the video processing);

identifying visual features from the video that indicate a mouth of the speaker is moving or not moving ("extracts the change amount of the shape of the lip portion", KATSUMI, column 6, lines 24-25); and

associating portions of the audio with selective ones of the visual features that indicate the mouth is moving ("when the 'speaking attendee determination information based on audio signal' represents a voice and the 'speaking attendee determination information based on video signal' represents a change of the shape of the lip portion simultaneously", KATSUMI, column 6, lines 58-63).

However, KATSUMI does not disclose: a training session, wherein each file associated with a same time line to permit specific frames of the video to be matched to specific frequencies of the audio during a same time slice occurring along the time line for the training session; identifying a face of the speaker and then identifying pixels within the face that represents the mouth and then noting changes in the pixels from frame to frame of the video along the time line; and matching changes in the frequencies of the audio with detected movements of the mouth during a same time period within the time line and associating a particular frequency with the speaker when the mouth is moving.

In the same field of audiovisual processing, NEFIAN teaches:

a training session (“training network and speech recognition module 18”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]), wherein each file associated with a same time line to permit specific frames of the video to be matched to specific frequencies of the audio during a same time slice occurring along the time line for the training session (“discrete nodes at time t for each HMM are conditioned by the discrete nodes at time t1 of all the related HMMs”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]);

identifying a face of the speaker (“speaker’s face in a video sequence”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]) and then identifying pixels within the face that represents the mouth and then noting changes in the pixels from frame to frame of the video along the time line mouth (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels representing the mouth regions); and

matching changes in the frequencies of the audio with detected movements of the mouth during a same time period within the time line (“video data must be fused with audio data”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]) and associating a particular frequency with the speaker when the mouth is moving (“13 MFCC coefficients extracted from a window of 20 ms”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0055]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the audiovisual matching method of NEFIAN with the speaker determination system of KATSUMI in order to “improve the performance of speech recognition” (NEFIAN, paragraph [0003]).

23. Regarding **claim 21**, KATSUMI further teaches including instructions for associating other portions of the audio with different ones of the visual features that indicate the mouth is not moving ("if an audio signal contains a noise such as a page turning noise and voices of other people along with a voice of a conference attendee, since an image of the motion of the lip portion of a conference attendee can be detected from a video signal, the speaking attendee can be determined", KATSUMI, column 7, lines 63-67).

24. Regarding **claim 22**, KATSUMI further teaches instructions for:
identifying second visual features from the video that indicate a different mouth of another speaker is moving or not moving ("images of terminals determined as having speaking attendees", KATSUMI, column 7, lines 56-57); and
associating different portions of the audio with selective ones of the second visual features that indicate the different mouth is moving ("if an audio signal contains a noise such as a page turning noise and voices of other people along with a voice of a conference attendee, since an image of the motion of the lip portion of a conference attendee can be detected from a video signal, the speaking attendee can be determined", KATSUMI, column 7, lines 63-67).

25. Regarding **claim 23**, NEFIAN further teaches instructions for:

processing a neural network ("neural network", NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]) to detect the face of the speaker ("speaker's face in a video sequence", NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]); and

processing a vector matching algorithm to detect movements of the mouth of the speaker within the detected face (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels representing the mouth regions).

26. Regarding **claim 24**, KATSUMI further teaches that the instructions for associating further include instructions for matching same time slices associated with a time that the portions of the audio were captured and the same time during which the selective ones of the visual features were captured within the video ("when the 'speaking attendee determination information based on audio signal' represents a voice and the 'speaking attendee determination information based on video signal' represents a change of the shape of the lip portion simultaneously", KATSUMI, column 6, lines 58-63).

27. Regarding **claim 25**, KATSUMI teaches an apparatus, residing in a computer-accessible medium, comprising:

face detection logic ("detects at least the lip portion of a conference attendee from the video signal", KATSUMI, column 6, lines 23-34);

mouth detection logic ("extracts the change amount of the shape of the lip portion", KATSUMI, column 6, lines 24-25); and

audio-video matching logic, wherein the face detection logic detects a face of a speaker within a video (“detects at least the lip portion of a conference attendee”, KATSUMI, column 6, lines 23-34), the mouth detection logic detects and monitors movement and non-movement of a mouth included within the face of the video (“extracts the change amount of the shape of the lip portion”, KATSUMI, column 6, lines 24-25), and the audio-video matching logic matches captured audio with any movements identified by the mouth detection logic (“when the ‘speaking attendee determination information based on audio signal’ represents a voice and the ‘speaking attendee determination information based on video signal’ represents a change of the shape of the lip portion simultaneously”, KATSUMI, column 6, lines 58-63).

However, KATSUMI does not disclose: specific frequencies occurring within captured audio during a training session and for a same time slice of that training session, and wherein the mouth is detected as moving by changes in pixels that represent the mouth within the face that occur from frame to frame of the video.

In the same field of audiovisual processing, NEFIAN teaches: specific frequencies occurring within captured audio (“13 MFCC coefficients extracted from a window of 20 ms”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0055]) during a training session (“training network and speech recognition module 18”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0012]) and for a same time slice of that training session (“discrete nodes at time t for each HMM are conditioned by the discrete nodes at time t1 of all the related HMMs”, NEFIAN, paragraph [0023]), and wherein the mouth is detected as moving by changes in pixels that represent the mouth within the face that occur from frame to frame of the

video (see NEFIAN, paragraphs [0018]-[0020], a series of vector calculations are performed on the pixels representing the mouth regions).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the audiovisual matching method of NEFIAN with the speaker determination system of KATSUMI in order to "improve the performance of speech recognition" (NEFIAN, paragraph [0003]).

28. Regarding **claim 26**, NEFIAN further teaches that the apparatus is used to configure a Bayesian network which models the speaker speaking ("video data must be fused with audio data using... a coupled hidden Markov model [HMM]", NEFIAN, paragraph [0023], where the HMM is a dynamic Bayesian network).

29. Regarding **claim 27**, NEFIAN further teaches that the face detection logic comprises a neural network ("neural network", NEFIAN, paragraph [0014]).

30. **Claims 7 and 12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsumi Patent No.: US 6,369,846 ("KATSUMI") in view of Nefian Pub. No.: US 2003/0212557 ("NEFIAN") and further in view of Van Schyndel Patent No.: US 5,940,118 ("VAN SCHYNDDEL").

31. Regarding **claim 7**, the combination of KATSUMI and NEFIAN teach all the limitations of claim 1.

However, NEFIAN and KATSUMI do not specifically disclose suspending the capturing of audio during periods where select ones of the captured visual features indicate that the speaker is not speaking.

In the same field of audiovisual processing, VAN SCHYNDEL teaches suspending the capturing of audio during periods where select ones of the captured visual features indicate that the speaker is not speaking ("uses optical information to optimally select and/or steer a microphone array in the direction of the talker", VAN SCHYNDEL, column 2, lines 55-58, meaning audio is not captured for someone who is not speaking).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use selectable microphones of VAN SCHYNDEL with the speaker determination system of KATSUMI and audiovisual matching method of NEFIAN in order to not restrict a talker's movement or position (VAN SCHYNDEL, column 2, lines 60-61).

32. Regarding **claim 12**, the combination of KATSUMI and NEFIAN teach all the limitations of claim 8.

However KATSUMI and NEFIAN do not specifically disclose suspending the capturing of audio when the analysis does not detect the mouths moving for the first and second speakers.

In the same field of audiovisual processing, VAN SCHYNDEL teaches suspending the capturing of audio when the analysis does not detect the mouths

moving for the first and second speakers ("uses optical information to optimally select and/or steer a microphone array in the direction of the talker", VAN SCHYNDEL, column 2, lines 55-58, meaning audio is not captured for someone who is not speaking).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use selectable microphones of VAN SCHYNDEL with the speaker determination system of KATSUMI and audiovisual matching method of NEFIAN in order to not restrict a talker's movement or position (VAN SCHYNDEL, column 2, lines 60-61).

Conclusion

33. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joel Stoffregen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1454. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS



PATRICK N. EDOUARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER